

# 2019-04-08, 2019-04-09 Editorial Advisory Group Face-to-face Meeting

Date: 2019-04-08

1330-1700 UTC

Date: 2019-04-09

0900-1230 UTC

Zoom Meeting Details:

**Topic: SNOMED Editorial Advisory Group Zoom Meetings**

Time: April 8, 2019 1330 UTC

<https://snomed.zoom.us/j/3306923098>

Time: April 9, 2019 0900 UTC

<https://snomed.zoom.us/j/958363815>

## Attendees

Chair:

- [Jim Case](#)

AG Members

- [Guillermo Reynoso](#)
- [Paul Amos](#) - ex officio
- [Jeremy Rogers](#)
- [Jeffrey Pierson](#)
- [Keith Campbell](#)
- [Daniel Karlsson](#)
- [Bruce Goldberg](#)

AG Subgroup chairs

- [Toni Morrison](#)
- [Daniel Karlsson](#)

## Observers:

[Toni Morrison](#)

[Penni Hernandez](#)

[Phuong Skovgaard](#)

[Monica Harry](#)

[Yongsheng Gao](#)

[Ed Cheetham](#)

[Julian Costello](#)

[Ian Spiers](#)

[Cathy Richardson](#)

[Theresa Barry](#)

[Elaine Wooler](#)

[Nicola Ingram](#)

[Kin-Wah Fung](#)

[Fareed Saroni](#)

[Karina Revinol](#)

[Maria-Cornelia Wermuth](#)

[Rachael Howe](#)

[Tiffany Harman](#)

[Dave Robinson](#)

[Gary Dickinson](#)

[Andrew Perry](#)

[Daniela Costa](#)

[Felipe ?](#)

[Peter G. Williams](#)

[Kai Kewley](#)

[Olivier Bodenreider](#)

[Elze de Groot](#)

## Apologies

[Anne Randorff Højen](#)

[Jon Zammit](#)

[Farzaneh Ashrafi](#)

## Meeting Files



SNOMED - source...nt 2-27-19.docx



SNOMED grid Sou...nfo 4-2019.xlsx



SNOMED CT conte...April 2019.pptx

## Meeting recording

The folder containing the meeting recordings is located [here](#).

Edited transcripts are located [here](#)



2019-April-EAG-...oduct-Roles.pdf



2019-April-EAG-D...evice-Update.pdf

## Discussion items

Item	Description	Time	Owner	Notes and Discussion	Action
<b>April 8, 2019</b>					
1	Call to order and role call  Notice of recording  Conflicts of Interest	1330 - 1332h	<a href="#">Jim Case</a>		
	Agenda review and approval	1332 - 1335h	<a href="#">Jim Case</a>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2019-04 Agenda approved
	ECE Update	1335 - 1420h	<a href="#">Bruce Goldberg</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Follow-up discussion of injury, traumatic and non-traumatic <a href="#">Injury_damage_traumatic_nontraumatic.pptx</a></li></ul> EAG agreed that use of DUE TO Event was a preferred way to represent "Traumatic injury"  Additional discussion on approach to handle "non-traumatic injury" needed <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Secondary disorders</li></ul> <a href="#">Secondary disorders including gout caused by drug.pptx</a>  <a href="#">Qry_Bruce usage report.xlsx</a>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BGO to write up proposal to use DUE TO Event to model "Traumatic injury"  <input type="checkbox"/> BGO to test use of GCIs to represent "Secondary disease"  <input type="checkbox"/> BGO to test alternative model for gout removing the relationship to hyperuricemia.

	Clinical content "Sources of truth"	1420 - 1500h	<a href="#">Bruce Goldberg</a>  <a href="#">Jeff Pierson</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Need to revisit the policy on adding text definitions from other sources <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Do we need to reference them if we paraphrase?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Combined definitions from multiple sources may be required to fulfill the needs of SNOMED</li> <li>SNOMED definitions are not normative, but used to define the meaning of a concept as represented in SNOMED CT.</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bruce Goldberg and Jeff Pierson to continue to develop resource matrix.
	<b>Break</b>	1500 - 1530h			
	Product role discussion	1530 - 1600h	<a href="#">Toni Morrison</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognized that some product roles are needed to define other procedures and clinical findings</li> <li>Those needed existing roles can be temporarily modeled using additional axioms</li> <li>Warning language that this is a temporary situation while a more permanent solution is devised will be communicated to the community of practice, MF, CMAG, User-support group and GA(?)</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">Toni Morrison</a> - to inactivate product roles that are not used to define other concepts. <input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">Toni Morrison</a> to work with KKKU to develop a communication plan for existing product roles
	Device project introduction	1600 - 1615h	<a href="#">Toni Morrison</a>	See slides attached	
	Historical association reset	1615 - 1700h	<a href="#">Jeremy Rogers</a>  <a href="#">Jim Case</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Consideration of addition of "Withdrawn"</b></li> <li><b>Concept Inactivation</b></li> <li>From prior discussion, things you can say about an inactivated concept <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1..1 exact match - SAME AS</li> <li>1..X same as one or more concepts - MAY BE</li> <li>Subtype of - WAS A</li> <li>What is the use case for REPLACED BY? For erroneous concepts, out of date concepts? Does it provide additional value to make this distinction? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SAME AS: A = B, B = A</li> <li>REPLACED BY: A &lt;&gt; B ?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Need to ensure that the semantic granularity is similar for "replacement" concepts.</li> <li>How do we ensure consistent usage? Tooling currently constrains associations allowed for specific inactivation types</li> <li>Do AMBIGUOUS concepts require 2..X MAY BE historical relationships?</li> <li>MOVED TO and MOVED FROM needs to reflect RF2 structures (i.e. modules as opposed to namespaces)</li> <li>WAS A relationships can be constructed from the release files</li> <li>Are additional historical relationships needed to fill gaps in retrieval or analysis?</li> <li>Concepts inactivated without a historical relationship (i.e. non-conformance to editorial policy) have an implied WAS A</li> </ul> </li> <li>Three aspects need to be considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistent historical relationship assignment by authors</li> <li>Guidance for users to correctly use these relationship</li> <li>From a QA perspective, cleanup of existing relationship to improve internal consistency</li> </ul> </li> <li>Should this be partially addressed by derivatives?</li> </ul> <p><b>Discussion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Audiences that need to be supported by improved historical relationships: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Content editors - selection of proper historical associations when inactivating or moving concepts.</li> <li>Data analysts who need a comprehensive history mechanism for traceability and data healing.</li> <li>Implementors who need to know how to replace concepts that are either changed or moved or something else.</li> <li>End user clinician who is trying to record something and all of a sudden his favorite concept has disappeared.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jim Case to work with SI tech team to inactivate existing WAS A relationships <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jim Case to communicate to content team that WAS A relationships no longer have to be maintained <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anne Randorff Højen, Jeff Pierson and Brian Carlsen to begin to develop use cases of concept life cycles and needed historical relationships <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jeremy Rogers to provide examples of existing historical relationships of questionable correctness
	<b>Adjourn</b>	1700h			
<b>April 9, 2019</b>					
	Call to order and role call  Notice of recording  Conflicts of Interest	0900 - 0905h	<a href="#">Jim Case</a>		
	Resolving the finding/disorder conundrum	0905 - 1030h	<a href="#">Matt Cordell</a>  <a href="#">Keith Campbell</a>	<a href="#">Background document:</a>	
	<b>Break</b>	1030 - 1100h			
	Proposed SNOMED CT Content Strategy	1100 - 1230h	<a href="#">Jim Case</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">SNOMED 2020-2025 draft strategy</a></li> <li>Review first year deliverables</li> <li>Begin discussion on specific criteria to identify the "clinical core" content</li> </ul>	

