Discussion surrounding the proposal to retire from use the WAS-A historical attribute and the inactivation of the existing relationships. The issue originated when a concept slated for inactivation served as a destination concept for a WAS-A relationship to a limited status concept. The tooling required reconciliation of the WAS-A relationship to an active concept. It was reported that historically there was some push back inactivation of a WAS A from a limited inactive concept pointing to a concept that was being inactivated. The palliative action was to redirect the WAS A towards the most specific active subsumer of the concept being inactivated to enable some sort of data healing. As discussed in Montevideo, current WAS As linked to limited, inactive concepts were a one-time compromise for an historical relationship that doesn't make sense in RF2.