2018-02-06 - SNOMED on FHIR Meeting (TS)

Date
20:00 UTC on Tuesday 6 February 2018 - 90 minutes.

Objectives
- FHIR Terminology Services and Resources

Attendees
Rob Hausam, Dion McMurtrie, Peter G. Williams, Jane Millar, Linda Bird, Daniel Karlsson, Grahame Grieve, Anne Randorff Hejen, Brian Carlsten, Charles McDonald, David Sporzel, Douglas Deshazo, Evan Currie, Michael Lawley, Mikael Collin, Oluf Mattsson, Peter Jordan, Reuben Daniels, Susan Matney, Øyvind

Meeting Details
Online: https://snomed.zoom.us/my/snomedhl7
Phone: See https://zoom.us/zoomconference for available phone numbers (meeting id 242-348-6949)
Chat: https://chat.snomedtools.org/channel/snomed-fhir (instructions and guide here - Getting Started with Rocket Chat)

Discussion items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Mins</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Notes &amp; Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Welcome and introductions</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Peter G. Williams</td>
<td>Recording + Notes. Session at April Business Meeting <a href="https://www.snomed.org/participate/attend-our-events/">https://www.snomed.org/participate/attend-our-events/</a> (8 - 11 April) Wed pm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Summary of previous week</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Peter G. Williams</td>
<td>2018-01-30 - SNOMED on FHIR Meeting (TB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Review of &quot;Using SNOMED with FHIR&quot; page</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Peter G. Williams</td>
<td>All participants are invited to review this local copy of that page prior to our next Terminology Services meeting. Jane Millar please adjudicate on use of IHTSDO vs SNOMED International. Answer: Legal documents should refer to &quot;IHTSDO&quot;. User documentation should use SNOMED International. Rob Hausam advise on time lines and channel for response. All comments to be received by 20 February 2018. Potential to ballot for Normative Status. Query coming from Grahame G. about how implementable this is eg with cross field validation. Risk of introducing performance issues. Perhaps easier to introduce as &quot;Best Practice&quot; for those with the capability to implement. How constrained should our profiles be eg allowing for non-SNOMED coding? Dion suggested allowing cherry picking from options available. Rob suggested need for expectations of conformance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>This weeks Star Topic</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Dion McMurtrie</td>
<td>Attempt to achieve conclusion: CodeSystem, ValueSet and ConceptMap resource naming, identification and versioning Dion McMurtrie to ask Liam Barnes to contribute what is being done for NCTS in Australia Rob Hausam to provide tracker item for further discussion. GForge tracker #13820 Add explicit parameters to ValueSet $expand value set and code system version <a href="https://gforge.hl7.org/gf/project/fhir/tracker/?action=TrackerItemEdit&amp;tracker_item_id=13820">https://gforge.hl7.org/gf/project/fhir/tracker/?action=TrackerItemEdit&amp;tracker_item_id=13820</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Participant</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 5 | Terminology Capabilities                                                     | Dion McMurtrie  | Overlap with FHIR Server capabilities / CodeSystem resource. How do we determine for a given FHIR Server which code systems and versions are supported?  
  - Sufficient to query the code system resource? Is not considered sufficient (due to possibility of declared resources not being operationally implemented, i.e., used for simple storage). Could instead be declared in a capability statement.  
  - Rob Hausam to supply tracker item on this issue.  
    - GFForge tracker #14539  
    - The existence of a CodeSystem resource on a server should only be interpreted as a declaration of the code system properties, not as a declaration of server support and expected behavior.  
    - https://gforge.hl7.org/gf/project/fhir/tracker/?action=TrackerItemEdit&tracker_item_id=14539&start=0  
  - Suggestion (GG) that we document the minimal acceptable capabilities of a SNOMED FHIR Server. |
| 6 | Review of proposals for possible Terminology Services and Resources collaborative work | Dion McMurtrie  | See Collaborative Work:  
  - Setting up and operating a FHIR terminology service  
  - Intensional reference sets versus intensional ValueSets  
  - Review and maintenance of Using SNOMED CT with FHIR page  
  - Changes to SNOMED CT to improve usage through terminology services  
  - Medication Resources for drug terminologies  
  - All: Invitation to fill in capabilities of known FHIR implementations: Features of Known Servers (Linda Parisien ?). Suggestion that this could be taken forward into Snomedinside (MHosking)  
  - Peter G. Williams to speak to Nick Egarhos about above.  
  - Peter G. Williams, Jane Millar, Linda Bird to return to issue of identifiers for legacy versions of SNOMED and respond for 20 Feb. |
| 7 | Topic for next meeting                                                       | Peter G. Williams| Plan for following fortnight: Focus on 4.2.1.0 Using SNOMED CT with FHIR |
| 8 | Any other business                                                           | Peter G. Williams|  
  - Jane Millar working on draft Terms of Reference, to be reviewed by The Member Forum (meeting in February)  
  - All please review Terms of Reference prior to submission to Member Forum Meeting.  
  - Peter G. Williams will be away Tuesday 13 February. |

**Meeting Files**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No files shared here yet.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>