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One of the largest teaching Hospital in London

Provide Specialist and local services

Rated “good” by Care Quality Commission

Academic relationship with UCL

Strong partnership with University College London (UCL)

One of 5 comprehensive Biomedical Research Centres (BRC)
Our sites

250 Euston Road and Maple House
Eastman Dental Hospital
National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery
National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery at Cleveland Street
Sir William Gowers Centre
Royal London Hospital for Integrated Medicine
Royal National Throat, Nose and Ear Hospital
University College Hospital Site comprises of:
University College Hospital Macmillan Cancer Centre
University College Hospital at Westmoreland Street
University College Hospital Elizabeth Garrett Anderson Wing
Hospital for Tropical Diseases
Institute of Sport, Exercise and Health
Our vision and values

We are committed to delivering top-quality patient care, excellent education and world class research.

Safety
Kindness
Teamwork
Improving
Our Electronic Health Records (EHRS) Journey
We said: Our EHRS will help improve...

- Patient Safety
- Research
- Integrated Care with Healthcare Partners
- Staff Experience
- Patient Engagement
UCLH Vision: A Research Hospital

- It is not just a hospital that does research
- It is an organisation in which research and analytics are integral and fundamental to everything we do - Valued not because staff are told to value it, but because they can see the value.
- A research hospital redefines state-of-the-art care - transformational
- Improves patient safety, outcomes and experience through relentless innovation - informed by its own data
- Learn from every individual case and every element of data, embedding the resultant knowledge into care delivery through immediate, constantly optimising, closed loop systems
- A “Re-search” hospital is a “learning hospital” - learns from what it does
- Decision support for management where “I think” is replaced by “I know”
- Patient engagement and involvement is hardwired in, not bolted on

(Courtesy of Dr Wai Keong Wong, Consultant Haematologist, Chief Research Information Officer)
NHS Clinical Data Standards

- The NHS standard for **clinical data records** is SNOMED CT
- Medicines and medical devices should be described using the Dictionary of Medicines and Devices (dm+d)
- The NHS standard for diagnosis based statistical analysis of hospitals is ICD
- The current NHS standard for procedure based statistical analysis of hospitals is OPCS
- The NHS standard for describing clinical tests and test results will be Unified Test List (UTL)
- All NHS digital, data and technology services should support FHIR-based APIs to enable the delivery of seamless care across organisational boundaries

(Matt Hancock, Secretary of State for Health and Social care)
Practical implementation issues

- Timing: when to incorporate standards into the EHRS design?
- **Vision**
  - Board level
  - Managerial level
  - Operational level (clinical and non-clinical users)
  - EHR system program team
- Knowledge and mind-set
- “It is hard to visualise what a meaningful EHR system can do if you haven’t seen one”
- Habit (free text vs structured input)
- Endless mouse clicks, key strokes, and gaze…

So the Key question becomes: how do we sell the **clinical** benefits to clinicians?
Starting off with National Registry and Clinical Audit

Case Study
- UK Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) Registry
IBD Registry

- “The purpose of the IBD Registry is to improve care of patients and understanding of the treatments they receive, to enable research, and to increase knowledge about IBD in the UK.”

Three Levels the Registry dataset

- **Level 1** – a minimal dataset of demographic data and IBD diagnosis.
- **Level 2** – the above data, plus additional clinical information including disease classification, details of surgery, admissions, cancer diagnosis and class of drugs.
- **Level 3** – a richer clinical dataset which can only be provided by a hospital using an electronic patient management system, such as the Registry PMS or Web Tool.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List Name</th>
<th>Coding</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>K50</td>
<td>Crohn's Disease - K50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>K51</td>
<td>Ulcerative Colitis - K51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBDU</td>
<td>K52</td>
<td>IBD type unspecified - K52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surgery</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H04.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total proctocolectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H04.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ileonal pouch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H05.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial colectomy &amp; colostomy with retained rectal stump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H05.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colectomy ileostomy with retained rectal stump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H05.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pancolectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H06.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial (segmental) colectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H07.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right hemicolecotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H09.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Left hemicolecotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H55.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Insertion of seton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H55.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fistulectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H58.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Drainage of perianal sepsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G27.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gastric surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G58.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Small bowel resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G73.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Permanent ileostomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G78.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strictureplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y53.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Radiological drainage of abscess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other surgery (not specified)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What was the process before?

- We have a system called InfoFlex. Screenshot below.
What was the process before Epic EHRS?

- One IBD personnel was hired by the IBD clinical lead to record the data for his IBD patients only.

Why?

- IBD patients’ journey starts from the outpatient clinic but outpatient diagnosis is not mandatory for national submission
- We can’t use the “Clinic name” to predict the patient’s problem
- It is impossible to troll through paper case notes to find the patients with IBD (if the data is not entered real-time)
- Nobody wants to enter the same data at two different places
- Because InfoFlex system is not seen as a “clinical system”, rather than a reporting system, it was a “bolt-on” for clinicians
Problems with the previous process

- No clinical incentive to record the data
- Missing patients
- No transparency
- Became a complete data submission exercise

Key change we would like to make under Epic:

- Linking clinical benefits and data submission together
  - Clinicians record the clinical data because they see benefits beyond reporting
Current Process

Does patient have an IBD diagnosis recorded on the Problem List

Outpatients

Has patient attended one of the IBD clinics?

Yes

Include

Yes

Inpatients

Is the IBD diagnosis the Primary Diagnosis in one of the admission?

Include
Using the Problem List as the starting point

- An populated problem list screenshot

Screenshot removed due to proprietary right.
How did we go with the Problem List?

- Clinicians don’t embrace structured data input

Search screen look like this:

Screenshot removed due to proprietary right.
Then more to fill in...

Screenshot removed due to proprietary right.
Nature of the game started changing…

- Change from one small specialty’s data registry collection to a Trust-wide program to increase the uptake of the Problem List

- Problem List Clinical Working Group formed to make recommendations
  - Short term (e.g. Configuration, Education etc.)
  - Long term (e.g. Development, Redesign of the screen)

- Engagement on both junior doctor and Consultant level
- Using a data drive initiative from the ground-up to drive better data collection at the front end
  - Picked three specialties to pilot
  - Creating performance report (for clinicians)
## Design Preference List to Ease the Search

- IBD Preference List

### Inflammatory Bowel Disease Diagnoses

- Acquired short bowel syndrome
- Colitis presumed infectious
- Crohn's disease
- Crohn's disease of both small and large intestine
- Crohn's disease of duodenum
- Crohn's disease of jejunum
- Crohn's disease of small intestine
- Diverticulitis
- Exacerbation of Crohn's disease
- Indeterminate colitis
- Ischaemic colitis
- Perianal Crohn's disease
- Radiation colitis
- Radiation proctitis
- Short bowel syndrome
- Ulcerative colitis, left sided
- Ulcerative pancolitis
- Coeliac disease
- Collagenous colitis
- Crohn's disease involving terminal ileum
- Crohn's disease of colon
- Crohn's disease of ileum
- Crohn's disease of rectum
- Diversion colitis
- Diverticulosis
- Exacerbation of ulcerative colitis
- Infectious colitis
- Lymphocytic colitis
- Pouchitis
- Radiation enteritis
- Rectal cuffitis
- Ulcerative colitis
- Ulcerative colitis, rectosigmoid
- Ulcerative proctitis
Smart Links to help with letter automation

Inflammatory Bowel Disease MDT meeting

Referring Consultant: [Redacted]
Date of MDT: 03/05/19

Clinical History:

Patient Active Problem List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>SNOMED CT(R)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative pancolitis</td>
<td>Ulcerative pancolitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSC (primary sclerosing cholangitis)</td>
<td>Primary sclerosing cholangitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta thalassaemia trait</td>
<td>Beta thalassaemia trait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent tuberculosis</td>
<td>Inactive tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the purpose for this Review colonic biopsies MDT Review?

Comments

UC-PSC
Biopsies from Oct 2018 show mild-mod inflammation.
Indefinite dysplasia
Pentasa increased from 2 to 4g today
?need to bring forward colon from Oct or leave as is?
Who has clerked most patients with most problems this week? - A data driven approach on junior doctors

Problems Created
(Acute intake team, previous 7 days)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User Name</th>
<th>Provider Type</th>
<th>Problem Count</th>
<th>Patient Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THOMPSON, DAVID</td>
<td>Registrar - ST1-2</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROGERS, LUCY</td>
<td>Registrar - ST3+</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBART, DIANA</td>
<td>Registrar - ST3+</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOYNGHUN, BENJAMIN</td>
<td>Registrar - ST3+</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAMAMOTO, MARCO</td>
<td>Registrar - ST3+</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUBAREL, MANTA</td>
<td>Registrar - ST3+</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LESTER, ELAINE</td>
<td>Registrar - ST3+</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATTON, LOUISE</td>
<td>Foundation Year 2 Doctor</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAZIAN, FRANZ</td>
<td>Registrar - ST1-2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GURAL, ORFILA</td>
<td>Foundation Year 1 Doctor</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARRIS, AMOS</td>
<td>Registrar - ST3+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBERT, NAMD</td>
<td>Registrar - ST3+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOUTONI, LUCIAN</td>
<td>Registrar - ST1-2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOOD THOMAS</td>
<td>Registrar - ST3+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZUFFI, MINARI</td>
<td>Registrar - ST1-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAPPARI, CLAIRE</td>
<td>Foundation Year 1 Doctor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENNETT, FRANKY</td>
<td>Registrar - ST3+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARKS, THOMAS</td>
<td>Registrar - ST3+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is the irony?

The codeset underpinning the IBD registry - Diagnosis (One Example Only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List Name</th>
<th>Coding</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Crohn's Disease - K50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>Ulcerative Colitis - K51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBDU</td>
<td>IBD type unspecified -K52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We are only allowed to submit the above three diagnoses. One of three is even more generic K52.

“The purpose of the IBD Registry is to improve care of patients and understanding of the treatments they receive, to enable research, and to increase knowledge about IBD in the UK.”-IBD Registry
What is the irony? – The codeset

- We lost all the clinical details collected from the Problem List using a proper medical terminology.

Example:
- Crohn's disease
- Crohn's disease of large intestine
- Crohn's disease of large intestine with fistula
- Crohn's disease of large intestine with intestinal obstruction
- Crohn's disease of large intestine with rectal bleeding
- Crohn's disease of large intestine without complication
- Crohn's disease of large intestine with abscess
- Crohn's disease of small intestine
- Crohn's disease of small intestine with intestinal obstruction
- Crohn's disease of small intestine with abscess
- Crohn's disease of small intestine with fistula
- Crohn's disease of small intestine with rectal bleeding
- Crohn's disease of small intestine with complication
- Crohn's disease of small intestine without complication

All the above terms is submitted using one code-CD: Crohn's Disease
Example 2

- Acute ulcerative colitis
- Chronic ulcerative colitis
- Chronic ulcerative ileocolitis
- Chronic ulcerative rectosigmoiditis
- Chronic ulcerative proctosigmoiditis
- Ulcerative chronic pancolitis with fistula
- Ulcerative chronic pancolitis with intestinal obstruction
- Ulcerative chronic pancolitis with rectal bleeding
- Ulcerative chronic pancolitis without complications

All the above terms is submitted using one code-UC: ulcerative colitis
What did we challenge?

“The purpose of the IBD Registry is to improve care of patients and understanding of the treatments they receive, to enable research, and to increase knowledge about IBD in the UK.” - IBD Registry

Questions we had:
- Clinical practice is all about details. Collecting clinical details at the local level but completely lose it at a national level, is counterproductive.
- If the purpose of the IBD Registry is to improve care of patients and enable research, how can it support research with such limited diagnosis codeset?
- IBD with and without complications must surely be separately identified due to the different risk factors and treatment plan involved?

What we requested:
- We requested the chair of IBD Registry (a senior gastroenterologist) to allow early implementers like us to start submitting SNOMED CT data.
- Unfortunately our wish wasn’t granted
To tie in with Clinical Terminology and EHRS

- Patient level data capture has to be very granular because it is replacing the paper record. Clinical terminology i.e., SNOMED CT and EHRS can certainly help with this agenda.

However,

- If we start from the logic that computer systems had, which is used to manipulate population level statistics, and think...
- We can continue to capture clinical data at the gross level, we are doing patients and clinicians a massive disservice.
- Imagine the clinician who has to stand up in the coroners court to justify the patient’s treatment armed with a very simplistic level of recording in the EHRS if we only record at population level…
- Modern methodology means we don’t need to compromise.
- National audits and registries can define the aggregation rules after receiving the data from local hospitals.
My self-reflection

- EHRS is a major step forward for any organisation
- Never forget your vision
- Vision is not just a local organisational problem
- Just because people working at the national level, doesn’t mean they are visionary
- A skilful consultant ≠ Digital Healthcare Expert
- Never stop the fight!
- If the process/outcome of the fight means better patient care, it is worth fighting for

- “A research hospital is an organisation in which research and analytics are integral and fundamental to everything we do - Valued not because staff are told to value it, but because they can see the value.”

(Curtesy of Dr Wai Keong Wong, Chief Research Information Officer)