Who is here?

Study background

- Total
- Law
- Communication
- Math
- Economist
- Accountancy
- Political science
- Medical training
- Other technical study
- Informatics

[Bar chart showing the study backgrounds with Total being the most represented, followed by Other technical study and Informatics.]
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Quick EU policy introduction

**European Commission**
- 28 commissioners
- Right of initiative
- Implementation of the European policies and budget

**European Parliament**
- 751 members representing the European citizens
- Adoption of legislation and budget
- Democratic supervision

**Council of the EU**
- 28 ministers representing the Member States
- Adoption of legislation and budget
- Concluding international agreements
Quick EU policy introduction

“In accordance with Article 168 of the EU Treaty, the European Union is striving to attain a higher level of health protection through all European policies and activities.

However, defining national health policies remains an exclusive competence belonging to Member States. Consequently, EU action shall not include the definition of health policies, nor the organisation and provision of health services and medical care.”
Semantic strategy

“Semantic interoperability is the ultimate level of interoperability”
“Maybe we need to rethink our strategy of hoping the Internet will just go away"
Where are we at?

- European Commission involved in Health and ICT for over 20 years;
- Mainly projects, but since 2004 it has been developing targeted policy initiatives;
- Change in 2009 > Member States get more involved;
- Directive on cross-border Patient Rights’ + renewed eHealth Action Plan;
- Main outcome: eHealth Network of Member States
eHealth Network

- Set up under Directive on Cross-Border Patient Rights (2011/24/EU)
- Political body leading eHealth Strategy in EU and working to enhance eHealth interoperability in EU
- Main deliverables:
  - guidelines on patient summary data-set
  - guidelines on ePrescription (expected)
- Recently adopted Multi-annual Work Plan 2015-2018 includes task on semantic strategy
### Where are we at on semantics?

#### Table D.17. Terminology standards for structured data elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-economic information</th>
<th>Mediations</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Laboratory tests</th>
<th>Medical imaging results</th>
<th>Surgical procedures</th>
<th>Physical characteristics</th>
<th>Behaviours</th>
<th>Psychosocial or cultural issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>IHE, HL7</td>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>LOINC</td>
<td>DIACOM/WADO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>SNOMED-CT</td>
<td>LOINC</td>
<td>DICOM</td>
<td>SNOMED-CT</td>
<td>SNOMED-CT</td>
<td>SNOMED-CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>ICD-10, ICPC</td>
<td>IUPAC</td>
<td>ICD10</td>
<td>NOMESCO, NCSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>National standards</td>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>LOINC</td>
<td>DICOM</td>
<td>NCSP</td>
<td>National standards</td>
<td>National standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>ICD-10 and ICPC2 mapped</td>
<td>Finloinc – mapped to LOINC</td>
<td>DICOM and Finland national coding</td>
<td>Finloinc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>PCS-ESE (occupation)</td>
<td>CIS, CIP</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>LOINC v1 1.3</td>
<td>HL7v3/DICOM</td>
<td>SNOMED 3.5 v1</td>
<td>UCUM</td>
<td>SNOMED 3.5 v1/SNOMED 3.5 v1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>National coding system</td>
<td>ICD-10 (GM for ambulatory care)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where are we at on semantics?

Table D.17. **Terminology standards for structured data elements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-economic information</th>
<th>Medications</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Laboratory tests</th>
<th>Medical imaging results</th>
<th>Surgical procedures</th>
<th>Physical characteristics</th>
<th>Behaviours</th>
<th>Psychosocial or cultural issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>DICOM</td>
<td>ICD-9</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Central Drug Vocabulary, OSOZ, BLOZ</td>
<td>ICD-9 CM</td>
<td>LOINC</td>
<td>DICOM</td>
<td>ICD-9 CM</td>
<td>EPSOS</td>
<td>EPSOS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>ICD-9 CM</td>
<td>LOINC</td>
<td>DICOM</td>
<td>ICD-9 CM</td>
<td>EPSOS</td>
<td>EPSOS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>SNOMED, ICD-10, Alliance NNN, 13606, archetypes</td>
<td>ATC,EDQM</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>LOINC</td>
<td>DICOM</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>ICD-10 CM</td>
<td>LOINC</td>
<td>Local codes</td>
<td>ICD-9 CM</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>National code, SNOMED-CT</td>
<td>ICD-10 CM</td>
<td>LOINC</td>
<td>SNOMED-CT</td>
<td>Local codes</td>
<td>ICD-9 CM, ICD 10, SNOMED CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom (England)</td>
<td>SNOMED-CT Drug extension</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>HL7</td>
<td>DICOM</td>
<td>OPCS4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where are we at on semantics II?

- EU Member States still very diverse structured data elements (some none);
- Work in EU to make it possible to share health data across borders;
- No unification is needed but simplification is necessary?
What have we done?

- Projects
  - SemanticHealthNet
  - Antilope
  - eHealth Governance Initiative (eHGI)
- Policy papers
- Now agreement to deliver semantic strategy

Agree on a European Strategy for semantic interoperability, based on research project deliverables under Horizon 2020
Specific on SNOMED CT?

• Study under H2020;
• Agreement in the making between EC and IHTSDO on European Union Subset for cross-border care;
Study: Assessing SNOMED CT for Large Scale Deployments in the EU

- SNOMED CT could play a central role as a core terminology to solve semantic interoperability issues;
- The epSOS pilot project has retained SNOMED CT as part of the master value catalogue which ensures semantic interoperability across the borders;
- The eHealth Network called on the Commission to play a more active role in assessing the value of SNOMED CT for eHealth deployments in Europe.
The study will:

- Investigate the fitness of the clinical terminology SNOMED CT as a potential standard for EU-wide eHealth deployments
- Scrutinize clinical, technical, financial, and organizational aspects of SNOMED CT
- Assess adoption against two alternative scenarios: 1) to abstain from actions at the EU level 2) to devise an EU-wide semantic interoperability framework without SNOMED CT

➢ Study expected to finished December 2015
Agreement on EU subset

- Proposal under the EU Public Health Program
- Intention to sign a direct services contract between European Commission and IHTSDO to use an EU subset for cross-border care
- This will give EU Member States, that are not yet a member country of IHTSDO, the possibility to use SNOMED CT terms for the duration of 2 years
- Important step to keep cross-border survives in the EU running until sustainable solution is found
Obstacles for SNOMED CT?

- Role of the European Union in health;
- Invested interest in Member States (health systems, hospitals, etc);
- Sometimes difficult to explain at national policy level;
- Financial argument > should an EU solution not be cost free?
Is there another option?

- If we want safe and high quality cross-border care, we need semantic interoperability;
- H2020 should provide more information on role of SNOMED CT in semantic strategy for EU;
- Until then, temporary agreement under EU Public Health Program makes it able to continue services;
- Unification against conversion?
Transatlantic cooperation

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN
THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
AND
THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION ON
COOPERATION SURROUNDING HEALTH RELATED INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES
Transatlantic challenges

- Member States involvement;
- Can you discuss harmonization when one side is still moving?;
- EU project deliverables are not EU policy agreements;
- However, more interest from Member States, strong focus on digital society, Roadmap to be updated and consultation expected;
Conclusions

“It is all about implementation”

Edith Schippers
Dutch Minister of Health, Welfare and Sport
SNOMED CT Implementation Showcase
2014 Amsterdam